

Docket No. DWHP200001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re **PATENT** application of:

Applicant: Donna Walker

Application No.: 10/632,231

For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR STRESS RELIEF USING
MULTIPLE ENERGY SOURCES

Filing Date: July 31, 2003

Examiner: Sikyin Ip

Art Unit: 1742

Mail Stop Amendment
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR § 1.132

Dear Sir:

Donna M. Walker declares as follows:

1. I am the inventor of the subject matter of the above-identified patent application.

2. I conducted comparative testing of two 4340 steel samples to verify the acceleration in stress relief of my invention. The samples were each standard ASTM E8 8" X 0.375" thick tensile flat tensile specimens made from 4340 steel as shown in the machining drawing attached hereto as EXHIBIT A, both tested samples were from the same lot, and had the same initial internal mechanical stresses prior to testing. The experimental procedure for testing the steel samples is as follows.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

3. The first steel sample underwent separate thermal and vibration processes that did not overlap in time. In the thermal process, the first sample was heated to a temperature of approximately 500° F for 120 seconds. In the subsequent non-overlapping vibration process, the first sample was vibrated at a frequency of about 35 Hz and an amplitude of about 24 cm/kg (motor setting) for 120 seconds.

4. The second steel sample was tested according to my invention by concurrent application of thermal and vibration processes in excess of the activation energy for 4340 steel for 30 seconds, with the temperature at approximately 500° F and the vibration provided at a frequency of about 35 Hz and an amplitude of about 24 cm/kg (motor setting).

5. I measured the stress of the first and second samples both before and after testing using the following measurement technique. Hole drilling residual stress analysis was performed using an RS200 Micromeritics Milling Machine especially designed for these measurements. Data analysis was performed using HDRILL, an analysis program per ASTM E837 Standard Test Method for Hole-Drilling Residual Stress Measurements.

6. The test results for the first and second samples are shown in the attached EXHIBIT B. As shown in the results of EXHIBIT B, the amount of stress removed by the concurrent application of the thermal and vibratory energy types to the second sample is greater than that removed from the first sample by the separate thermal and vibration processes.

7. The acceleration in stress change achieved by concurrent processing using multiple energy types as exemplified in the results of EXHIBIT B is independent of the type of material used, and may be verified using any measurement techniques.

8. This declaration is submitted prior to final rejection.

DECLARATION

9. As a person signing below, I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE

10. INVENTOR:

Full name of Inventor:

Donna M. Walker

Inventor's Signature:

Donna M. Walker

Date:

November 15, 2005

Country of Citizenship:


U.S.

Residence:

40388 Ladene Lane
Novi, Michigan 48375

EXHIBIT A

0.5 x 2.0 STANDARD FLAT TENSILE SPECIMEN



NOTES

1. ASTM E8, B657, A370, E648, E517
2. THE OVERALL LENGTH CAN BE REDUCED TO 5.91", EXCEPT FOR K -, n -, AND T -VALUE TESTING (E648)
3. WIDTH OF REDUCED SECTION CAN BE TAPERED 0.004" SMALLER AT THE CENTER. (TAPER ALLOWED FOR ALL SPECIMENS, SUGGESTED FOR CASTINGS/LOW DUCTILITY METALS)

LENGTH	BLANK SIZE (± 0.03)
STANDARD	$1 \pm 6 \times$ THICKNESS
MINIMUM	$1 \pm 4 \pm 25 \times$ THICKNESS

EXHIBIT B

H-DRILL RESIDUAL STRESS CALCULATION

A1023	Patent	Bkup	4340 Fe	#20	as	rid	+	Heat	+	Vib
mean	diameter	=	0.202 in							
Hole	diameter	=	0.065 in							
depth	limit	=	0.04 in							

Sequential application of vibration (120 sec) and heat (500 F for 120 sec)

Stresses		Sx	Sy	Txy
Depth	in	ksi	ksi	ksi
0.0006		351.7	84.9	-48.5
0.0019		155	32	22.2
0.0031		87.3	23.7	7.8
0.0044		497.5	129.5	-62.8
0.0062		152.3	34	7.5
0.0088		186	43.6	-5.6
0.0113		171.3	46.5	-9.1
0.0137		198.9	55.4	-74.1
0.0162		46.7	7	-8.9
0.0188		86.7	23.5	-39.7
0.0213		220.5	70.1	-82.7
0.0237		-65.1	-33.6	-23.7
0.0263		99.3	30.2	-8.2
0.0287		156	57	-13.1
0.0313		94.5	26.1	-6
0.0337		-1.3	-12	-41.3
0.0363		85.5	22.6	-13.5
0.0388		-58.1	-47.4	-3.1


#10N - Walker Process - 500 F. 30 sec.

#1 Rolled - Baseline


Depth In	Sx ksi	Sy ksi	Txy ksi	Depth In	Sx ksi	Sy ksi	Txy ksi
0.0006	63.4	12.7	0	0.0006	-32.5	-217.2	-155.3
0.0019	29	11.7	27.7	0.0019	27.9	6.4	-18.2
0.0031	57.1	17.1	3	0.0031	-7.3	2.8	-4.9
0.0044	86.9	34.4	28	0.0044	7.4	5.2	-3.7
0.0062	101.9	32.3	16.3	0.0062	-1.7	-2.7	-7.6
0.0088	131.9	31.7	22.6	0.0088	1.6	-0.8	-2.1
0.0113	429.3	194.8	103	0.0113	-3.7	-7.2	2.3
0.0137	-36.9	-38.5	-20.4	0.0137	-0.1	-4.8	-1.3
0.0162	80.6	23.7	0.7	0.0162	-2.2	-10.2	-3.6
0.0188	79.6	23.4	3.5	0.0188	-9.4	-7.4	3.6
0.0213	145.3	58.4	7.6	0.0213	1.2	-8.3	-0.9
0.0237	125.5	33.1	16.1	0.0237	-3	-11.5	-8.2
0.0263	84.9	28.2	-6.8	0.0263	-16.9	-12.9	37.9
0.0287	127.9	41	2.4	0.0287	-1.4	-4.7	-32.7
0.0313	107.9	26.5	0.8	0.0313	13	9.7	7.5
0.0337	90.8	28.4	4	0.0337	-15.8	-19.1	-10.8
0.0363	24.9	-11.1	-10.5	0.0363	-6.4	-0.6	-1.1
0.0388	73.4	5.6	-10.4	0.0388	28.6	8.9	-6.4



Comparison of Stress Relief in 4340 Steel Using
Walker Process (500F, 30 sec) vs. Baseline vs.
Vibration (120 sec), then Heat (500F, 120sec)
Longitudinal Direction



Comparison of Stress Relief in 4340 Steel Using Walker Process (500F, 30 sec) vs. Baseline vs. Vibration (120 sec), then Heat (500F, 120sec) Transverse Direction



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.